

Conclusion

Consolidating democracy is never easy. It requires skilled leadership, an active civil society, functioning political institutions, and – most importantly – a significant degree of *time*. These are scarce commodities in even the most benevolent transition to democracy. In a post-conflict scenario, however, the challenges are multiplied many times over. Deep-rooted conflicts impact negatively on almost every area of political and social relations. Civil society is often weak or highly partisanized or both; leaders and local elites are usually the very people who have until recently been engaged in the conflict itself; the economy will have been severely damaged; and the basic institutions of government have either ceased to function or face severe crises of legitimacy. Under such conditions, attempts to reconstruct a sustainable democracy face huge obstacles. It is not surprising, therefore, that the record of promoting democracy in such cases includes many failures and relatively few unambiguous successes.

The consolidation of democratic governance relates both to the existence of a conducive institutional framework, and the respect for and compliance with democratic procedures. It is therefore vital that any assessment of the progress made in implementing an agreement adopts a holistic view of the agreement and of its implementation. The various phases that this handbook focuses on, from pre-negotiation to implementation, should all be regarded as part of one continuous process that requires constant vigilance and evaluation.

What overall lessons do these successes and failures hold for would-be democracy builders? The first is that more attention needs to be paid to the *process* by which one reaches a peace settlement rather than simply concentrating on a scenario's *outcomes*. The distinction between process and outcome is one of the starkest lessons of post-settlement peace building in the 1990s. In 1998, two long-lasting and seemingly intractable conflicts – Northern Ireland and Bougainville – finally reached peace agreements. These two conflicts have many parallels: both have been long-running disputes over autonomy that had claimed several thousand lives; both involve complicated questions of

territorial and cultural sovereignty; and both have been seemingly impervious to resolution, despite numerous previous attempts at a settlement. These underlying factors did not change in either case in the lead-up to their respective peace agreements. The substantive issues concerning questions of regional autonomy mechanisms had also been debated and discussed over a number of years: the mechanisms contained in the 1998 “Good Friday” agreement in Northern Ireland, for example, had been around for some time as part of earlier peace proposals. What did change in both cases, however, was a renewed focus on the *process* by which negotiations took place.

In Northern Ireland, this meant genuinely inclusive talks in which all elements – including extremists from both sides – were brought into the process as equal players, rather than being left to disrupt proceedings from outside. The presence of a skillful and committed chair who earned the respect of all sides, in the shape of former US Senator George Mitchell, and political leaders of the major moderate factions committed to seeing agreement reached, was also crucial. In Bougainville, New Zealand’s intervention as a respected but impartial third party enabled talks to be held in a neutral environment that encouraged the building of mutual trust and commitment. There, the key to the peace settlement was not what the agreement said but what it failed to say: key issues concerning independence and future autonomy arrangements for Bougainville were left out of the agreement altogether, to be addressed at a later date. In both the Northern Ireland and Bougainville cases, then, the process by which negotiations were held was a key to their success. Peace talks were structured in such a way as to promote dialogue, trust and commitment – the keys to building a lasting peace.

Attempts to resolve similar conflicts elsewhere would benefit from more focused attention on this issue at an early stage in negotiations, rather than the rushed and often ill-thought through agreements that characterize so many failed settlements. Of course, many agreements are rushed precisely because of the urgency of the issues involved: in places like Bosnia, Rwanda and Burundi, the overriding importance of the agreements was to stop the killing. If they succeeded in this key aim but failed to establish sustainable democracy, this can hardly be a source of blame for the architects of those settlements. But it does illustrate that rushed agreements aimed primarily at stopping conflict may not be the best base on which to try to build a viable democratic state.

The international community and domestic actors alike need to see democracy as a long-term process of building trust from within, rather than a quick-fix solution that can be imposed from without. The international community's obsession in the early 1990s with elections as a form of conflict resolution is perhaps the most obvious manifestation of this "quick-fix" mentality. The world is littered with elections, often conducted at the behest of the international community, which only served to inflame and politicize the root causes of conflict. The 1993 elections in Burundi, for example, served as a catalyst for the devastating ethnic conflict that was to follow by bringing the Tutsi-Hutu rivalry into sharp relief. Similarly in Rwanda, pressures for multipartism and democracy were translated into mobilization of ethnic interests and intensified ethnic competition over control of the state. In certain other countries, internationally sponsored elections were imposed by the international community as a form of exit strategy for their involvement. But elections are the beginning of a democratic process, not the end-point, and any genuine strategy for the promotion of democracy following a deep-rooted conflict needs to view elections as only the first step in a long-term process.

Elsewhere, the failure was not one of the elections *per se*, but rather the lack of forethought that went into them. In both Algeria in 1991–1992 and Burundi in 1993, inappropriate electoral systems produced a winner-take-all outcome which encouraged the "loser" to turn to violence rather than accept the outcome. In Angola, the fact that the constitution centralized power in a one-person office – the presidency – meant that it offered few incentives for a loser to stay in the process. In Bosnia, the 1996 elections held after the signing of the Dayton Accord took place in an environment of fear that served to solidify rather than break down ethnic divisions. The choice of electoral system itself exacerbated this problem by replicating the deep divisions between ethnic groups in the legislature. In Cambodia, a free and fair electoral process that was technically flawless elected two main parties, each of whom had expected to control power alone. A clumsy post-election power-sharing arrangement that had no constitutional basis was hastily cobbled together and, unsurprisingly, fell apart within a few years.

With the benefit of hindsight, all of these cases would have benefited from more careful forethought as to exactly what the elections in question were supposed to achieve, how they would be likely to impact upon the political environment, and, most

importantly, how they could be designed to achieve more sustainable outcomes. This could have included, for example, the diffusion of powers to a parliament in the Angolan case, electoral system design to encourage inter-ethnic accommodation in Bosnia and constitutionally mandated power-sharing arrangements in Cambodia. Elsewhere, the devolution of power to provinces or local areas, autonomy arrangements for particular regions, special recognition of group or indigenous rights, reparation and reconciliation commissions, gender equality mechanisms, peace committees and a host of other devices have been used to manage deep-rooted conflicts or stop existing ones from escalating.

The utility of these types of arrangements and many others, highlights the point that the distance between the success or failure of democracy is often not that large. Well-designed institutions which address real issues through creative structuring of incentives and constraints can achieve much; so can carefully structured peace talks aimed at bringing all interests “inside the tent”. Conversely, democracy-promotion is often threatened by the imposition of institutions which work well in western countries but which aggravate problems in divided societies. Greater study of the way different democratic institutions work in different societies is thus crucial to democracy promotion in the next decade.

So too is the international community’s continued support for democracy building as a long-term process rather than a short-term event. The key to democratic consolidation is time, and the successive repetition of periodic events such as elections, so that patterns of behaviour become regularized over the long term. It is virtually impossible to consolidate democracy without this *iterative* factor. This is also, however, the issue that is most consistently overlooked by domestic democracy builders and the international community alike.

More important than either institutional engineering or international support, however, is the key role of local, indigenous support for democracy. Democracy as a form of government is synonymous with questions of domestic sovereignty and domestic jurisdiction. It remains, for the time being at least, a form of government predominantly associated with states and their sub-regions, rather than international or regional groupings. This means that the importance of local actors in making democracy work is paramount.

Amongst local actors, no group is more important than political leaders. Virtually every successful transition to democracy discussed in this manual depended primarily upon far-sighted, courageous and creative political leadership. Leaders who are prepared to make sacrifices, to make deals with their political foes, to negotiate, to move forward when others are afraid or unwilling, are essential to building a sustainable democracy. But leaders alone can only do so much. Without fundamental support for peace and democracy amongst a population, no amount of enlightened leadership can succeed. Fortunately, examination of deep-rooted conflicts around the world suggests that, in almost all cases, ordinary people, men and women, remain fundamentally committed to democracy. They are also inevitably the biggest losers when democracy breaks down. Building a sustainable peace requires the fundamental harnessing of ordinary people behind democratic values – values based, above all, on the people themselves being the ultimate arbiters of their political leadership and their country’s destiny.



Contributors

Harris

Peter Harris

South African human rights lawyer. In 1993, he was a National Peace Accord regional director, and was Chief Director of the Monitoring Directorate of the Independent Electoral Commission for South Africa's transitional 1994 elections. He has been an operations consultant to the UN, and led the establishment of South Africa's National Commission for Conciliation, Mediation and Arbitration. Formerly Director of Programmes at International IDEA, he now heads a consultancy group in Johannesburg.

Reilly

Ben Reilly

Senior Programme Officer at International IDEA. An Australian national, he has previously served as an adviser in the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet in Canberra. He has advised on issues of constitutional design in a number of divided societies around the world, including Bosnia, Fiji, Kyrgyzstan and Indonesia. He holds a PhD in Political Science from the Australian National University, and is co-author of *The International IDEA Handbook of Electoral System Design*.

Anstey

Mark Anstey

Director of the Industrial Relations Unit, University of Port Elizabeth, South Africa, and author of *Practical Peacemaking: A Mediator's Handbook* (Jutta and Co Ltd 1993).

Bennett

Christopher Bennett

Director of International Crisis Group's Balkans Project. He is author of *Yugoslavia's Bloody Collapse* (Hurst, London, and New York University Press, New York, 1995).

Bloomfield

David Bloomfield

Born in Belfast, author of *Peacemaking Strategies in Northern Ireland* (1997), and *Political Dialogue in Northern Ireland* (1998). He holds an MA in Peace Studies and a PhD in Conflict Resolution. Now a consultant trainer and writer, and Research Fellow at the University of Ulster's Centre for the Study of Conflict, he is completing a book on the 1996–1998 political negotiations in Ireland.

de Silva

K. M. de Silva

Executive Director of the International Centre for Ethnic Studies, Sri Lanka, and author of *A History of Sri Lanka* (1981); *Regional Powers and Small State Security: India and Sri Lanka 1977–1990*; and *Reaping the Whirlwind: Ethnic Conflict, Ethnic Politics in Sri Lanka* (1998).

Gasa

Nomboniso Gasa

South African feminist and political activist. She has served on the South African Commission for Gender Equality and several other organizations. She is currently working on her book on South African women in the political struggle, *Reclaiming our Voices*.

Ghai

Yash Ghai

Sir YK Pao Professor of Public Law at the University of Hong Kong. He has taught in Tanzania, Britain, the US, Sweden, Singapore and Fiji. He has published extensively on comparative public law, human rights, ethnic relations, state-owned companies and the sociology of law. His most recent book is *Hong Kong's New Constitutional Order: The Resumption of Chinese Sovereignty and the Basic Law* (1997).

Huyse

Luc Huyse

Professor of Sociology and Sociology of Law at the University of Leuven Law School (Belgium). He has written widely on the role of the judiciary in transitions to democracy. He is currently studying the trials of the Mengistu officials in Ethiopia.

Karklins

Rasma Karklins

Professor of Political Science at the University of Illinois at Chicago. In addition to many articles, she has published *Ethnopolitics and Transition to Democracy: The Collapse of the USSR and Latvia* (Johns Hopkins, 1994) and *Ethnic Relations in the USSR: The Perspective from Below* (Allen & Unwin, 1986), which was awarded the 1987 Ralph J. Bunche award from the American Political Science Association.

Lund

Michael Lund

Senior Associate at the Center for Strategic and International Studies and Creative Associates International, Inc., both in Washington, DC. He is author of *Preventing Violent Conflicts: A Strategy for Preventive Diplomacy* (US Institute of Peace, 1996).

Nupen

Charles Nupen

An independent consultant, and formerly the Director of the Commission for Conciliation, Arbitration and Mediation in South Africa.

Olson

David M. Olson

Professor of Political Science and Director of the Parliamentary Documents Center for Central Europe at the University of North Carolina at Greensboro, USA. Author of *Democratic Legislative Institutions: A Comparative View* (1994), he is a specialist in comparative legislative organization and functioning.

Regan

Anthony J. Regan

Australian lawyer who has advised on constitutional issues in Papua New Guinea and Uganda. He is currently a Senior Fellow in the Department of Political and Social Change, Research School of Pacific and Asian Studies, Australian National University, Canberra.

Reynolds

Andrew Reynolds

Assistant professor in the Department of Government and International Studies at the University of Notre Dame, USA. A British national, he is also a Fellow of the Hellen Kellogg Institute for International Studies and the Joan B. Kroc Institute for International Peace Studies. Reynolds is the author or editor of five books dealing with the politics of elections; his latest being *Electoral Systems and Democratization in Southern Africa* (Oxford University Press).

Santiso

Carlos Santiso

Senior Programme Officer at International IDEA focusing on democracy assistance and good governance. He graduated from the Institut d'Etudes Politiques of Paris, France (1993) and Columbia University, New York, United States (1995). Before joining International IDEA, Mr Santiso held different positions within the Department of Political Affairs of the United Nations, at the Delegation of the Commission of the European Union to the United Nations and at the Cabinet of the French Prime Minister.

Sisk

Timothy D. Sisk

American political scientist and public policy practitioner he teaches international conflict resolution at the Graduate School of International Studies of the University of Denver in Colorado, and is Senior Associate of the Fund for Peace in Washington, DC. He has authored books and articles on the United Nations, international intervention in ethnic conflicts, democracy in developing countries, and elections and electoral systems.



About International IDEA

International IDEA was founded by 14 states in February 1995, and started practical work in mid-1996. Today the Institute has 22 members, 17 governments: Australia, Barbados, Belgium, Botswana, Canada, Chile, Costa Rica, Denmark, Finland, India, Namibia, The Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, South Africa, Spain, Sweden; and five international non-governmental organizations: the Inter-American Institute of Human Rights (IIHR), the International Federation of Journalists (IFJ), the International Press Institute (IPI), Parliamentarians for Global Action (PGA) and Transparency International (TI). It also has co-operative agreements with the International Commission of Jurists (ICJ), the Inter-Parliamentary Union (IPU) and the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Switzerland also contributes to the work of the Institute. International IDEA's statutes allow for new members.

The Board of Directors

Sir Shridath Ramphal, *Chairman*

Former Secretary-General of the Commonwealth and Co-Chairman of the Commission on Global Governance.

Ambassador Thorvald Stoltenberg, *Vice-Chairman*

Ambassador of Norway to Denmark, former Foreign Minister and Minister of Defence of Norway, and UN Special Representative in the former Yugoslavia.

Hon. Henry de Boulay Forde

Lawyer and former Foreign Minister and Attorney General of Barbados.

Dr Adama Dieng

Secretary-General of the International Commission of Jurists.

Dr Frene Ginwala

Speaker of the National Assembly, South Africa.

Prof. Colin Hughes

Professor of Political Science, University of Queensland and former Electoral Commissioner of Australia.

Ms Mónica Jiménez de Barros

Executive Director of PARTICIPA and member of the Truth and Reconciliation Commission, Chile.

Mr Manmohan Malhoutra

Former Assistant Secretary-General of the Commonwealth and adviser to the former Prime Minister of India, Mrs Indira Gandhi.

Lord Steel of Aikwood

Former British MP, former leader of the British Liberal Party, founding member of the Liberal Democratic Party, and former President of Liberal International.

Ms Aung San Suu Kyi

General Secretary of the National League for Democracy in Burma and Nobel Peace Prize Laureate.

Ms Maureen O'Neil

President of the Canadian International Development Research Centre.

Dr Erling Heymann Olsen

Former Speaker of the Danish Parliament (Folketing) and Professor of Economics at the University of Roskilde.



The Institute's work is not the reflection of any specific national interest, but is based on the statutes on which the members have agreed.

Objectives of the Institute:

- To promote and advance sustainable democracy world-wide;
- To broaden the understanding and promote the implementation and dissemination of the norms, rules and guidelines that apply to multi-party pluralism and democratic processes;
- To strengthen and support national capacity to develop the full range of democratic instruments;
- To provide a meeting-place for exchanges between all those involved in electoral processes in the context of democratic institution-building;
- To increase knowledge and enhance learning about democratic electoral processes;

- To promote transparency and accountability, professionalism and efficiency in the electoral process in the context of democratic development.

International IDEA has the following advantageous characteristics which assist it in undertaking such tasks:

- It is global in ownership and scope;
- It is expressly devoted to advancing democracy as its main task;
- It brings together in its governing body, on an equal footing, governments and professional international organizations involved in the process of furthering democracy; and
- It regards democracy as an evolving process and is able to take on long-term projects.

Decisions about what work International IDEA undertakes is guided, in part, by the uniqueness of its members, which in turn reflect the diverse partners that are found in national democracies. The governments that founded International IDEA believed that the time had come for the creation of a dynamic institute that could creatively and practically assist in sustaining and developing a democratic process in a large number of countries.

Publications

Beyond Elections '96: A Two-Year Window of Opportunity for Democracy – Proposals for the Transition towards Peace and Democracy in Bosnia and Herzegovina

Evaluating Election Observation Missions: Lessons Learned from the Russian Elections of 1996

International Election Observation: Lessons Learned

(A round-table jointly organized by the United Nations Electoral Assistance Division and International IDEA, 10–12 October, 1995)

Evaluación del Impacto de la Asistencia Externa en el Proceso Electoral Nicaragüense

ISBN: 91-89098-18-8 (1998)

Report of the “Democracy Forum” in Stockholm, 12–14 June, 1996

Report of the 1997 International IDEA, Democracy Forum

Report of the Roundtable on National Capacity-Building for Democracy (12–14 February, 1996)

Voter Turnout from 1945 to 1997: A Global Report on Political Participation (*A comprehensive world-wide compilation of voter turnout statistics since 1945.*)

ISBN: 91-89098-04-8 (1997)

Code of Conduct Series (ISSN: 1402-6767)

Code of Conduct for the Ethical and Professional Discharge of Election Observation Activities (English, French, Spanish)

English ISBN: 91-89098-10-2 (1997)

French ISBN: 91-89098-14-5 (1998)

Spanish ISBN: 91-89098-16-1 (1998)

Code of Conduct for the Ethical and Professional Discharge of Election Administration Activities (English, French, Spanish)

English ISBN: 91-89098-11-0 (1997)

French ISBN: 91-89098-15-3 (1998)

Spanish ISBN: 91-89098-17-X (1998)

Capacity-Building Series (ISSN: 1402-6279)

1. Democracy in Romania: An Assessment Mission Report

ISBN: 91-89098-03-X (1997)

2. Consolidating Democracy in Nepal: An Assessment Mission Report

ISBN: 91-89098-02-1 (1997)

3. La Démocratie au Burkina Faso, Executive Summary/Rapport de Synthèse

ISBN: 91-89098-08-0 (1997)

4. La Démocratie au Burkina Faso, Rapport de la Mission d'Analyse, La Cadence du Développement Démocratique au Burkina Faso

ISBN: 91-89098-07-2 (1998)

5. Democracy in Burkina Faso, Assessment Mission Report The Cadence of Democratic Development in Burkina Faso

ISBN: 91-89098-24-2 (1998)

6. Democracia en Guatemala, La misión de un pueblo entero

ISBN: 91-89098-23-4 (1998)

Handbook Series (ISSN: 1402-6759)

1. The International IDEA Handbook of Electoral System

Design (*An easy-to-use guide describing what factors to consider when modifying or designing an electoral system.*)

ISBN: 91-89098-00-5 (1997)

2. Women in Parliament: Beyond Numbers

(A handbook examining the political impact women have made through parliaments.)

ISBN: 91-89098-19-6 (1998)

The International IDEA Technical Paper Series for Election Administrators (ISSN: 1403-3275)

The Internet and the Electoral Process

ISBN: 91-89098-21-8 (1998)

Publications about International IDEA

Newsletter (three times a year)

International IDEA's Statutes

International IDEA's Declaration

Work in Progress, January 1998

Information Brochure

Information Leaflet

For more information about International IDEA's publications, the languages in which they are available and cost, please contact the International IDEA Information Services. Many of International IDEA's publications are on our Website: www.idea.int

Address: Strömsborg, S-103 34 Stockholm, Sweden

Phone: +46-8-698 37 00 Fax: +46-8-20 24 22

E-mail: info@int-idea.se

Index

A

- ADB (Asian Development Bank) 384
- Afghanistan 10, 14, 369
- Algeria 10, 14, 72, 391
- Angola 51, 74, 77, 109, 112, 140, 391, 392
 - Bicesse Accords 16, 63, 110, 346
 - 1992 elections in 16
- APEC (Asia-Pacific Economic Co-operation forum) 375
- Argentina 221, 276, 316, 351, 361, 374
- ASEAN (Association of Southeast Asian Nations) 375
- Australia 32, 137, 157, 171, 173, 174, 176, 181, 194, 198, 210, 215, 221, 227, 311, 314, 315, 320, 325–327, 337, 381
- autonomy 11, 34, 97, 140, 141, 145, 196, 219, 248, 389, 390
 - see Section 4.2, “The Structure of the State: Federalism and Autonomy”, pp. 155–168*

B

- Bangladesh 10, 14, 315
- Belgium 32, 162, 196, 248, 277
- Benin 254, 257, 258, 261, 265–267, 268, 269, 270, 272, 378
- bicameralism (see legislatures)
- bilateral development agencies 376, 380–381, 382
- Bosnia 9, 12, 31, 87, 105, 139, 140, 143, 146, 197, 293, 355, 390, 391, 392
 - see Bosnia-Herzegovina Case Study, pp. 147–154*
 - Brčko Arbitral Tribunal 108, 112
 - Dayton, Ohio peace accords 26, 89, 90, 108, 110, 112, 140, 144, 149, 151, 152, 154, 165, 197, 391
- Botswana 182
- Bulgaria 246, 315
- Burkina Faso 254
- Burma 10, 14
- Burundi 20, 37, 142, 143, 390, 391

C

- Cambodia 10, 20, 140, 165, 355, 358, 362, 363, 369, 391, 392
- Cameroon 254, 271, 378
- Canada 32, 34, 137, 156, 157, 167, 181, 194, 220, 221, 227, 235, 311, 315, 321,

322, 323, 337, 364, 365, 379, 380, 381
 – Quebec 34, 46, 156, 166, 167, 235, 247, 248
 Central African Republic 254, 271
 Chad 254, 257, 287, 378
 checks and balances 180, 182, 186, 188, 189, 316, 349, 350, 351, 365–367
 Chile 135, 185, 221, 274, 283, 287, 316, 373
 China 159, 161, 379
 – Hong Kong autonomy and 156, 159
 Colombia 10, 186, 200, 303, 351
 Commonwealth of Nations 208, 235, 315, 372, 375, 376
 Comoros 254
 conditionality 165, 367, 369, 372, 376, 377, 378, 379, 382, 383, 385
 Congo (Brazzaville) 254, 257, 258, 261, 267–268, 270, 365, 378
 consociational democracy 162, 196, 197, 203
 corruption 346, 350, 358, 362–363, 365, 377, 381, 383
 Costa Rica 186, 315
 Côte d’Ivoire 254, 378
 Council of Europe 241, 372
 Cyprus 31, 34, 141, 142, 162, 182

D

de Klerk, F.W. 38, 52, 55, 56, 63, 102
 deadlock 55, 57, 58, 61, 62, 65, 66, 68, 88, 99–104, 105, 182, 186, 189, 231
 decommissioning of weapons 74, 128, 129, 131, 354, 355, 364, 365
 deep-rooted conflict
 – analysis of *See Chapter 2, “Analysing Deep-Rooted Conflict”, pp. 29–48*
 – changing nature of *See Chapter 1, “The Changing Nature of Conflict and Conflict Management”, pp. 7–28*
 – characteristics of 9–13, 14, 24, 33–37, 72
 – definition of 9, 14, 21
 – management versus resolution 13–24, 31, 34, 38, 39, 108
 democracy
 – assistance 367–385
 – conflict management and 17–27, 135–136
 – definition of 18–19, 136–137, 392
 – importance of democratic institutions 13, 16–20, 36, 135–137
 Democratic Republic of Congo (formerly Zaire) 18, 254, 257, 258, 269–270, 271, 296, 379
 Denmark 156, 380

E

EBRD (European Bank for Reconstruction and Development) 384
 ECOWAS (Economic Community of West African States) 375
 El Salvador 74, 274, 283, 285, 286, 288, 353, 365, 369, 379

electoral administration *See Section 4.11, "Building an Electoral Administration", pp. 308–319*

electoral systems 137, 141, 181, 187, 188, 207, 208, 209, 210, 222, 347, 391, 392
See Section 4.4, "Electoral Systems for Divided Societies", pp. 191–204

- AV (alternative vote) 192, 193, 194, 196, 197, 202, 203, 204, 209
- best-loser system 201
- communal rolls 192, 199, 200, 203, 209
- List PR (proportional representation) 192, 193, 195, 196–197, 198, 201, 202, 203, 204, 209
- party block vote 194, 200, 201, 203
- reserved seats 200, 204
- STV (single transferable vote) 192, 193, 196, 198–199, 202, 203, 204
- vote pooling 145, 204, 209

escalation 37–38, 46–47, 142

Estonia 198, 199, 203, 247

Ethiopia 72, 84, 161, 165, 254, 275, 279, 292

EU (European Union) 12, 57, 105, 142, 153, 158, 370, 371, 381

F

federalism 137, 140, 141, 144, 145
See Section 4.2, "The Structure of the State: Federalism and Autonomy", pp. 155–168

- advantages of 161–163
- asymmetrical federalism 34, 156
- resistance to 163–164
(see also autonomy)

Fiji 31, 35, 141, 161, 162, 176, 181, 198, 199, 376
See Fiji Case Study, pp. 205–211

- Constitution Review Commission 207, 208, 210

Finland 100, 365, 380

- Åland Islands 156, 158
- semi-presidential system 186, 188

foreign aid 249, 369, 376, 377, 379

France 72, 182, 186, 194, 245, 277, 311, 323, 378

G

Gabon 254, 258, 271, 378

gender equality mechanisms 25, 392
See Section 4.12, "National Machinery for Gender Equality", pp. 320–334; and The Commission for Gender Equality in South Africa Case Study, pp. 335–342

- CEDAW (Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women) 239, 323, 327, 334
- Commission for Gender Equality 57, 323, 333, 335–342

- constitutional mechanisms 321–322, 330
- gender desks/focal points 323, 326–327, 332
- ministries for women's affairs 323–324, 331
- Ministry for Gender and Community Development, Uganda 324–325
- Office of the Status of Women 323, 325–326, 332

Georgia 12, 36, 159

Ghana 163, 214, 315

governance 16, 20, 31, 43, 136, 180, 184, 258, 259, 306, 347, 351, 359, 369, 371, 376–378, 380, 381, 382, 383, 384, 389

grand coalitions 140, 144, 181, 182, 196, 201, 210

Guatemala 10, 352, 358, 374, 378, 379

See Guatemala Case Study, pp. 299–307

Guinea 254

Guinea-Bissau 254

H

Haiti 348, 364, 374, 378, 379

human rights instruments 160 *See Section 4.6, “Human Rights Instruments”, pp. 234–242*

- minority rights 140, 143, 160, 236–237, 240–242, 364, 371, 372, 373
- religious and ethnic persecution 236–237
- women's rights 239–240, 321

Hungary 195, 221, 277, 315, 373

I

IDB (Inter-American Development Bank) 382, 384

IMF (International Monetary Fund) 151, 382–383

India 31, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 65, 77, 194, 252, 314, 315, 326

– federalism in 34, 137, 156, 158, 160, 162, 163, 200

– Kashmir question 35, 156, 163

– Sri Lanka and *See Sri Lanka Case Study, pp. 225–233*

Indonesia 10, 14, 142, 159, 164, 197, 293, 375, 379, 382

International Criminal Court 291, 294

international democracy assistance (*see democracy, assistance*)

Iraq 10, 14, 47, 77, 110

Israel 10, 14, 63, 68, 83, 84, 85, 89, 107, 112, 188, 233, 346, 360

K

Kenya 104, 158, 159, 163, 187, 254, 272, 296, 378, 379, 383

L

language policy 227, 228, 237, 239, 240, 242

See Section 4.7, “Language Policy for Multi-Ethnic States”, pp. 243–251

- assimilation and 243, 245–246

- language boards 145, 247–248, 249
 - pluralism and 243, 246, 247, 248
- Latvia 247, 249, 250
- leadership 38, 58, 217–218, 389, 393
- Lebanon 31, 84, 140, 142, 200, 203, 233
- Taif Accord 142
- legislatures 179, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 188, 189, 191, 192, 198, 201, 203, 327, 337, 347, 360, 361, 362, 391
- See Section 4.5, “Legislatures for Post-Conflict Societies”, pp. 212–224*
- Lesotho 379

M

- Malawi 315, 378, 379
- Malaysia 31, 33, 34, 35, 155, 156, 164, 210, 249
- Mali 254, 257, 258, 268, 270, 272, 378
- Mandela, Nelson 38, 52, 53, 55, 56, 69, 71, 74, 283
- Mauritius 32, 194, 201, 203, 210, 315
- mediation 23, 54, 65, 105, 110, 113, 161, 166, 233, 242, 360, 369
- power 109–110, 111, 112
 - pure 108–109, 110, 111, 112
- (see also shuttle mediation)*
- MERCOSUR (Southern Atlantic Common Market) 374
- Mexico 185, 195, 222, 303, 316
- Meyer, Roelf 64, 100
- minority rights *(see human rights instruments, minority rights)*
- Mitchell, George 128, 129, 130, 390
- monitoring 57, 151, 176, 177, 260, 285, 286, 312, 313, 316, 323, 325, 326, 327, 337, 338, 341, 349, 350, 353–355, 358, 359, 360, 362, 364, 372, 373
- Morocco 35
- Western Sahara question 35
- Mozambique 63, 77, 84, 89, 315, 354, 357, 365, 369
- multilateral development banks 382–384

N

- Namibia 315, 321, 322, 323, 369
- national conferences *See Section 4.8, “National Conferences”, pp. 252–262; and National Conferences in Francophone Africa Case Study, pp. 263–272*
- NATO (North Atlantic Treaty Organization) 151, 152, 291, 372
- negotiation *See Chapter 3, “Negotiation Processes”, pp. 59–120*
- Netherlands 196, 203, 380
- New Zealand 175, 176, 195, 199, 200, 210, 227
- Nicaragua 218, 315, 357, 369, 384
- Niger 200, 254, 257, 258, 271, 378
- Nigeria 34, 106, 112, 156, 162, 221, 222, 254, 376

Northern Ireland 10, 11, 12, 14, 26, 34, 62, 74, 81, 84, 88, 89, 91, 92, 100, 142, 198, 199, 203, 360, 365, 367, 389, 390

See Northern Ireland Case Study, pp. 121–132

Norway 67, 100, 107, 380

O

OAS (Organization of American States) 108, 370, 373, 374, 384

OAU (Organization of African Unity) 370, 374

OECD-DAC (Development Assistance Committee of the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development) 377, 378

OSCE (Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe) 105, 151, 160, 370, 372, 373

Oslo agreement 83, 85, 346, 360

P

Pakistan 35, 200, 252, 313

Palestinian Authority 83, 89, 107, 112, 160, 200, 360

Papua New Guinea 158, 159, 161, 162, 165, 182, 198, 203, 219, 252

See Bougainville Case Study, pp. 169–178

– Bougainville 159, 166, 389, 390 *See Bougainville Case Study, pp. 169–178*

parliamentarism 179, 180, 181, 183, 186, 188

parliamentary systems (*see legislatures*)

peace-keeping 15, 31, 368, 369, 373, 374, 375

Philippines 61, 135, 161, 287, 315, 375

Portugal 75, 186

power-sharing democracy 81, 126, 128

See Section 4.1, “Power-Sharing Democracy: An Overview”, pp. 139–146

– group approach (*see also consociational democracy*) 140–141, 144, 145, 196

– integrative approach 140–141, 144, 145, 196

pre-negotiation (*see also negotiation*) 24, 57, 66–69, 72, 75, 76, 81, 85, 87, 88, 100, 102, 106, 356

presidential systems 16, 135, 219

See Section 4.3, “Executive Type: Presidential versus Parliamentarism”, pp. 179–190

proximity talks 79, 80, 101–102, 103

R

Ramaphosa, Cyril 64, 91, 92, 100

referendums 17, 55, 92, 93, 102, 103, 118, 130, 131, 163, 165, 166, 176, 260, 266, 267, 268

refugees 11, 12, 14, 15, 153, 302, 303, 365

Russia 10, 12, 14, 33, 35, 36, 246, 247, 248, 249, 250, 315, 351, 361, 365

Rwanda 12, 142, 143, 237, 289, 290, 291, 292, 293, 294, 346, 355, 390, 391

S

- SADC (Southern African Development Community) 375
- Sant'Egidio Community 63, 89
- Savimbi, Jonas 16, 345, 355
- semi-presidential systems 179, 180, 185, 186–187, 188, 189, 272
- Senegal 254
- shuttle mediation 80, 82, 99, 101, 103, 116
- Sierra Leone 10, 140, 375, 376, 379
- Slovenia 200, 221, 315
- South Africa 26, 34, 38, 62, 63, 64, 68, 73, 77, 81, 88, 91, 100, 102, 104, 135, 140, 143, 144, 156, 163, 181, 182, 188, 196, 197, 202, 203, 214, 215, 222, 235, 254, 257, 258, 271, 272, 274, 275, 279, 283, 284, 287, 312, 314, 321, 322, 323, 325, 326, 327, 328, 347, 353, 362, 366, 367, 375
- See South Africa Case Study, pp. 49–58*
- CODESA (Conference for a Democratic South Africa) 53, 55, 81, 271
 - Commission for Gender Equality *See The Commission for Gender Equality in South Africa Case Study, pp. 335–342*
- Spain 12, 34, 71, 156, 159, 160, 161, 165, 166, 274, 315
- Basque question 12, 34, 71, 72, 159, 166
- Sri Lanka 10, 12, 14, 31, 33, 34, 65, 140, 142, 155, 159, 160, 161, 163, 164, 186, 187, 198, 252, 315, 379
- See Sri Lanka Case Study, pp. 225–233*
- Sudan 18, 62, 75, 76, 140, 159, 163
- Sweden 219, 326, 363, 380
- Switzerland 34, 156, 179, 196, 203, 247
- South Tyrol 158, 245

T

- Tajikistan 140
- Tanzania 292
- Zanzibar 156, 157, 166
- Thailand 315, 375, 379
- third-party assistance (*see also mediation*) 104–113
- Togo 254, 257, 258, 269, 270, 271, 272
- transitional justice 136, 293, 294
- See Section 4.9, “Transitional Justice”, 273–280; and Section 4.10, “Reckoning for Past Wrongs: Truth Commissions and War Crimes Tribunals”, pp. 281–298*
- (see also truth commissions, war crimes tribunals)*
- Trinidad and Tobago 32, 182
- truth commissions 273, 274, 303, 304, 306
- See Section 4.10, “Reckoning for Past Wrongs: Truth Commissions and War Crimes Tribunals”, pp. 281–298*

U

Uganda 159, 163, 288, 324–325, 327, 337
UNDP (United Nations Development Programme) 249, 268, 381
United Kingdom (*see also Northern Ireland*) 11, 12, 104, 124, 126, 127, 129, 181, 194, 213, 221
United Nations 47, 57, 74, 105, 110, 141, 149, 152, 160, 210, 227, 235, 238, 240, 274, 286, 289, 291, 292, 293, 294, 303, 323, 335, 353, 354, 355, 365, 367–369, 370, 384
United States 26, 32, 47, 82, 83, 84, 88, 89, 90, 104, 105, 108, 110, 112, 125, 128, 152, 159, 184, 186, 210, 213, 218, 222, 245, 301, 355, 380, 390
Uruguay 273, 278, 316, 374, 384
USAID (US Agency for International Development) 380

V

Venezuela 186, 195, 303, 351

W

war crimes tribunals 136, 237, 286
See Section 4.10, “Reckoning for Past Wrongs: Truth Commissions and War Crimes Tribunals”, pp. 281–298
women's participation (*see also gender equality mechanisms*) 24–25
World Bank 151, 266, 267, 382, 383, 384

Y

Yugoslavia 19, 31, 36, 139, 160, 164, 237, 274, 275, 289, 290, 291, 294
– Kosovo 139, 154

Z

Zaire (*see Democratic Republic of Congo*)
Zimbabwe 77, 283, 346, 358